



Comparisons of Space-Based GPS Occultation Ionospheric Scintillation Measurements With Ground-Based VHF Measurements

K. M. Groves¹, F. H. Ruggiero¹, M. J. Starks¹, T. L. Beach² and P. Strauss³

¹Air Force Research Laboratory, Hanscom AFB, MA 01731 USA

²Creare Inc., P.O. Box 71, Hanover, NH 03755 USA

³Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, CA 90245 USA

Copyright 2009, SBGf - Sociedade Brasileira de Geofísica

This paper was prepared for presentation during the 11th International Congress of the Brazilian Geophysical Society held in Salvador, Brazil, August 24-28, 2009.

Contents of this paper were reviewed by the Technical Committee of the 11th International Congress of the Brazilian Geophysical Society and do not necessarily represent any position of the SBGf, its officers or members. Electronic reproduction or storage of any part of this paper for commercial purposes without the written consent of the Brazilian Geophysical Society is prohibited.

Abstract

Satellites in low earth orbit (LEO) equipped with dual-frequency GPS receivers, such as the Constellation Observing System for Meteorology, Ionosphere and Climate (COSMIC), have enabled a new means of retrieving ionospheric electron density profiles through the so-called radio occultation technique. Essentially the on-board GPS receiver tracks signals from GPS satellites, measuring total electron content (TEC) between the LEO satellite and the distant GPS platform. In cases where a rising or setting satellite geometry results in the raypath scanning through ionospheric altitudes (60-1000 km), it is possible to invert the observed TEC variations to determine the electron density profile along the line-of-sight. Because the technique is completely space-based, it is possible to achieve global real-time coverage with an appropriate constellation of LEO satellites. Furthermore, using high sample rate data, it seems promising to extract ionospheric scintillation parameters during occultation events as the GPS radio signal encounters turbulence or structure along the radio ray path. We have conducted an analysis of more than 200 COSMIC GPS occultation events in the vicinity of ground-based scintillation observations to determine if signal fluctuations observed with the satellite GPS receiver are correlated with scintillation observations on the ground. The data show a reasonable correlation with ground-based observations when a variety of factors such as spatial and temporal differences are accounted for. The COSMIC data are not ideal for such investigations because the high data rate (50 Hz) sampling is not enabled until the occulting raypath altitude is below 120 km. Thus, a number of occultations from the AFRL Communications/Navigation Outage Forecast System (C/NOFS) have also been examined. C/NOFS flies in a low inclination orbit near the equator and frequently encounters electron density irregularities associated with Spread F activity at low latitudes.

Results of observations from both satellites will be presented.

Introduction

Ionospheric irregularities are known to cause scintillation of transionospheric radio signals and will affect UHF/VHF communications, causing outages, and will degrade GPS precision. The most extreme scintillation occurs within a belt that is within approximately $\pm 15^\circ$ of the earth's magnetic equator. Mitigation of the ill-effects of scintillation requires accurate and timely identification and forecast of the underlying ionospheric disturbances. Current capability for characterizing and predicting ionospheric scintillation employs a network of ground-based receivers of geostationary satellite VHF transmissions (Groves et al. 1997) to detect scintillation and then extrapolate for short-term forecasts. A set of ground stations from the Air Force Research Laboratory's SCintillation Network Decision Aid (SCINDA) has been deployed to carry out the observation portion of this effort. Obviously the practical limits on deploying ground receivers limits the spatial resolution one can achieve using this approach. A way to increase the spatial resolution is to use a set of space-based radio occultation measurements. In 2006 a constellation of six satellites as part of the Constellation Observing System for Meteorology, Ionosphere, and Climate (COSMIC), was launched into low earth orbit to provide regular radio occultation measurements. The COSMIC satellites are equipped with L-band receivers that generate radio occultations with GPS satellites. Some preliminary results of the COSMIC mission can be seen in Anthes et al. (2008). In this paper we examine the signal-to-noise values of the received L1 channel GPS signals on the COSMIC satellites to determine if we can identify ionospheric scintillation using computations of the S4 scintillation index. We try to validate the approach by comparing radio occultations in the vicinity of Kwajalein Atoll (9.4°N, 167.5°E) in the Marshall Islands with near vertically pointing ground-based VHF signal to noise measurements from the Kwajalein SCINDA site. Additionally, occultations from the AFRL C/NOFS satellite are examined to determine the potential benefits afforded by high data rate sampling (50 Hz) throughout the F region and predominantly east-west occultation geometry that cuts across the primary axis of equatorial bubbles.

Method

The geometry of the COSMIC radio occultations is presented in Figure 1. A typical occultation lasts only a few minutes. The most significant change in the geometry during the occultation is the occultation's tangent point height from the earth. Only when the tangent point height is below 120 km MSL is the signal to noise sent down via telemetry at 50 Hz (1 Hz at other times) thus limiting the useful part of the occultations for this study.




Figure 1. Radio occultation geometry between COSMIC (FORMOSAT) and GPS satellites.

An example of the signal-to-noise plot for an occultation is shown in Fig. 2. As the tangent point height gets lower, terrestrial weather effects dominate the signal. To determine what tangent point heights are relevant for ionospheric scintillation computations an automated procedure was employed. The procedure computes a reference signal-to-noise at a mean height well above where any terrestrial weather effects would occur (110-120 km) and compares that with a running mean of signal-to-noise values as the occultation progresses. Once the running mean deviates by $> 30\%$ from the reference mean, the ionospheric portion of the occultation is terminated. As a hard stop no data below 35 km is used for the ionospheric study.

For the ionospheric portion of the occultation the S4 index is computed,

$$S4 = \sqrt{\frac{I^2 - I^{-2}}{-2I}}$$

where I is the L1 signal intensity.

Examination of the resulting S4 computations and signal-to-noise plots revealed that some preprocessing was needed prior to comparing with the ground-based measurements. In particular two different types of cases needed to be addressed. The first case was obviously spurious data because the signal-to-noise showed high speed frequency noise with constant amplitude. We suspect that this is radio frequency interference caused when the tri-band beacon on the satellites is turned on although we have to yet to try to prove it conclusively. These cases were removed by computing the energy spectra on the ionospheric portion of the occultation and looking for situations where there was substantial energy at the higher frequencies. These cases were thrown out. The second situation was cases where there were




Figure 2. Example of GPS received signal-to-noise plot displaying effects of ionospheric scintillation. The area between the red dots indicate the ionosphere-only portion of the occultation.

significant but isolated spikes in the occultation signal-to-

noise ratios from the GPS satellites. An example is shown in Fig. 3. These spikes will elevate the S4 value for the occultation. We don't doubt that there a physical phenomena causing these signals, most likely E-layer structures; however they are not cases of ionospheric scintillation and should not cause any significant effect on radio signals. To account for these situations, a running S4 is computed every for 2 sec of data (100 points) during




Figure 3. Example of COSMIC received signal-to-noise plot displaying a singular event.

the ionospheric portion of the occultation. If a running S4 value is 3.5 times the standard deviation of all the running S4s, that section of the occultation is replaced by a linearly interpolated value of the signal-to-noise ratio from before and after the spurious section.

Results

We examined COSMIC radio occultations that had at least one F-peak penetration point (estimated at 350 km)

within 15° of Kwajalein from the period 12 July 2006 to 24 March 2007. There were a total of 223 occultations available during this time. It should be noted that six COSMIC satellites did not achieve their final orbits and separations until near the end of our data collection period. Some of the 223 occultations were discarded due to the high-frequency noise problem identified above or the fact that there were no coincident ground measurements available at Kwajalein at the time of the occultation. This resulted in 146 occultations being used for comparisons in this study.

A scatter chart showing the S4 values observed from the fixed-point VHF receiver at Kwajalein with L-band S4 values from the COSMIC occultation is shown in Fig. 4. Ignoring for the moment the large number of points along the 0.1 VHF S4 axis, you can see that for VHF S4 > 0.15 the relation between the VHF S4s at Kwajalein and the L-band S4s from the COSMIC occultations is about what one would expect given the S4 frequency dependence found by Fremouw et al (1978). Given the GPS L1 frequency of 1.573 GHz and VHF frequency of 244 MHz one would expect an approximate 1:5 relationship between their respective S4 values below 0.6. Above 0.6 as noted by Fremouw et al. (1978) both sets of values




Figure 4. Scatter plot of COSMIC occultation S4 values versus ground-based VHF S4 values at Kwajalein

trend towards unity.

While the above relationship is a hopeful sign of the utility of using the space-based GPS occultations for detecting scintillation, the number of cases where the COSMIC occultations registered a S4 above 0.2 and the ground-based measurement was 0.1 (essentially the measurement floor) is distressing. To gauge the magnitude of the problem we created the contingency shown in Table 1 that relates the prediction of VHF S4 > 0.3 based on the observation of L-band S4 > 0.2. From this we can compute the Probability of Detection (PoD--fraction of cases where VHF S4 > 0.3 is correctly predicted by L-band > 0.2) and the False Alarm Rate (FAR--fraction of cases where VHF S4 > 0.3 is incorrectly predicted by L-band > 0.2). The resulting PoD and FAR

L-Band S4 > 0.2	VHF S4 > 0.3	
	YES	NO
YES	7	8
NO	8	123

are 0.47 and 0.53 respectively. This is not indicative of a procedure with much skill.

Table 1. Contingency table of the prediction of scintillation by COSMIC L-band S4 verified by SCINDA VHF S4.

We looked into the most egregious false alarm cases where the L-band S4 was >0.2 while the VHF S4 were \leq 0.1. We found that some of these cases were occurrences where at the time of the occultation the VHF S4 at Kwajalein was relatively benign but that at a later time enhanced values were seen. An example is shown in Fig. 5. The signal-to-noise ratio in 5a shows scintillation while at the same time the Kwajalein S4 receiver was quiet. However approximately 2 hours later the Kwajalein receiver was experiencing significant scintillation as seen in 5b. Panel 5d shows the geographical locations of the F-peak penetration points relative to Kwajalein. Based on longitudinal difference between the Kwajalein and the closest F-peak penetration point a drift velocity of approximately 30 m/s would be required for an ionospheric irregularity region to migrate to Kwajalein, which is not unreasonable in the post-midnight equatorial ionosphere during solar minimum conditions.

Conclusions

If we consider the fixed-point VHF scintillation measurements as our “ground truth” for verifying the COSMIC occultation simulations, then based on the results presented above one might not think that the space-based approach is very useful. However we did identify cases where we saw scintillation with the VHF receiver within a few hours of the occultation which accounting for plausible drift velocities would verify the scintillation observed by the COSMIC occultation. For other cases where the COSMIC occultation has significant scintillation but no scintillation is observed by the VHF receiver during the night there also may be a physical solution. We have observed cases using the ARPA Long-Range Tracking and Instrumentation Radar (ALTAIR) at the Ronald Reagan Test Site on Kwajalein where ionospheric irregularities develop to the west or east of the site but never advect over it. Therefore unless the occultation and ground receiver are nearly geographically co-located we cannot be absolutely certain that a case is truly a false alarm or a missed detection.

While we need to examine more cases than what are presented here we feel confident that the additional data will reinforce our preliminary conclusion that space-based occultations can be a viable and accurate source of radio

scintillation information, providing substantially more spatial resolution than what is available from ground networks such as SCINDA. However it is important to realize that ground networks still are an important component to scintillation detection and forecasting because they still provide a temporal resolution that could only be matched by a prohibitively large constellation of GPS receivers.

In order to verify the preliminary conclusions presented here we need to analyze additional cases. Since the end of our first data analysis period another year and a half of COSMIC occultations have been collected. We will compare the additional occultations with ground-based measurements at Kwajalein as well as several equatorial fixed point VHF receivers at other locations. In addition we will run comparisons of our COSMIC occultation S4 computations with those generated on board the COSMIC satellites. We hope to be able to validate the on board S4 calculations because they are down linked at 50 Hz for all tangent point heights whereas the L1 signal-to-noise is only down linked at 50 Hz at tangent point heights < 120 km.

Finally, this approach will be applied to the Communication/Navigation Outage Forecast System (C/NOFS) Occultation Receiver for Ionospheric Sensing and Specification (CORISS) sensor which was launched in April 2008. This sensor is capable of computing S4 at 50 Hz regardless of tangent point height. The C/NOFS mission will also include focused field campaigns with ground sensors such as tri-band beacon receivers and radars that would prove useful to positively determine the cause of false alarms or missed detections.

References

- Anthes, R. A., and co-authors, 2008: The COSMIC/FORMOSAT-3 mission early results. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **89**, 2047-2064.
- Fremouw, E. J., R. L. Leadabrand, R. C. Livingston, M. D. Cousins, C. L. Rino, B. C. Fair, and R. A. Long, 1978: Early results from the DNA Wideband satellite experiment—Complex signal scintillation. *Radio Sci.*, **13**, 167-187.
- Groves, K. M., S. Basu, E. J. Weber, M. Smitham, H. Kuenzler, C. E. Valladares, R. Sheehan, E. McKenzie, J. A. Secan, P. Ning, W. J. McNeill, D. W. Moonan, and M. J. Kendra, 1997: Equatorial scintillation and systems support. *Radio Sci.*, **32**, 2047-2064.




Figure 5. Signal-to-noise ratio during an occultation occurring at 9:24 UTC on 25 July 2006 (a), S4 index for Kwajalein SCINDA station for 25 July 2006 (b), tangent heights during ionospheric only portion of occultation (c), and location of Kwajalein ground site relative to occultation F-layer pierce points (d).