GEOPHYSICS APPLIED TO THE MAPPING OF NATURAL CAVES HOSTED IN IRON ORE IN CARAJÁS (PA), BRAZIL
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ABSTRACT. The Brazilian environmental licensing for mining activities requires technical-scientific studies to demonstrate that natural caves will be protected from the impact of the mining operations. This study presents the results of near-surface geophysical methods applied to geostructural mapping of the rock mass in which the caves are hosted. The ferruginous karstic terrains are challenging to the geophysical interpretation due to the caves’ modest dimensions and the large variations in the host rock physical properties. Electrical Resistivity and GPR (Ground Penetrating Radar) geophysical surveys, were performed overlaying a natural cave located in the surroundings of the N4EN iron mine, in the Carajás Province, northern Brazil. The resistivity data were useful to discriminate different lithotypes as well as the presence of humidity in the rock mass, while the GPR data revealed a detailed degree of fracturing of the rock mass. The presence of humidity and the highly fractured zones may constitute zones of greater fragility of the cave walls and ceiling.
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RESUMO. O licenciamento ambiental brasileiro para atividades de mineração requer que estudos técnico-científicos demonstrem que as cavernas serão protegidas do impacto das operações de mineração. Este artigo apresenta os resultados de métodos geofísicos rasos aplicados ao mapeamento geoestrutural do maciço rochoso em que as cavernas estão hospedadas. Os terrenos cársticos ferruginosos são desafiadores para a interpretação geofísica, devido às modestas dimensões das cavernas e às grandes variações nas propriedades físicas da rocha hospedeira. Levantamentos geofísicos de Resistividade Elétrica e GPR (Radar de Penetração no Solo) foram realizados sobre uma caverna localizada no entorno da mina de ferro N4EN, na Província de Carajás, região Norte do Brasil. Os dados de resistividade foram úteis para discriminar diferentes litotípos, bem como a presença de umidade na massa rochosa, enquanto os dados de GPR revelaram em grau detalhado o fraturamento da massa rochosa. A presença de umidade e as zonas altamente fraturadas podem constituir zonas de maior fragilidade das paredes e teto da caverna.
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INTRODUCTION

Mining operations face the challenge of extracting the ore without causing negative impacts to the environment, which includes, in many cases, underground natural caves. The Brazilian Constitution of 1988 considered underground natural caves as public property. Current Brazilian legislation requires scientific studies to demonstrate that mining operations will not cause any physical impact to caves that have historical, scenic, artistic, archaeological, paleontological, ecological and/or scientific value. Until these studies are completed and approved, a protection distance of 250 meters should be maintained, causing blockage of reserves and losses to the mineral industry. Some caves can be suppressed provided all legal requirements are met.

Often a combination of more than one geophysical method is used in geophysical surveys to investigate underground caves (natural or man-made). Chalikakis et al. (2011) analyzed the literature on geophysics applied to karst regions and compiled an indicative table of the most appropriate methods for each type of problem, emphasizing that often the combination of more than one method is necessary. Day-Lewis et al. (2017) discussed the applicability of various geophysical methods to environmental problems in fractured rocks, highlighting the electrical resistivity as the most appropriate method for identification and monitoring of fractured zones, and GPR (Ground Penetrating Radar) as appropriate for mapping fractured zones, since the electrical properties of the rock masses are mostly controlled by the presence of fractures and the connectivity between them. Many authors have published studies on near-surface geophysical methods applied to the investigation of underground caves (e.g. Driad-Lebeau et al., 2008, in old salt and mari mines in France; Cardarelli et al., 2010, in old underground pozzolana mines in Rome; Putiška et al., 2014, in carbonatic karst in Slovakia; Ferreira et al., 2017, in quartzite caves in Brazil). The combined geophysical methods allowed the authors to create geological models of the subsurface, with different success rates in cave detection or collapse prediction for each geological context and geophysical method.

In Brazil, mainly after 2008, with the increasing of the cave protection laws, there was a great expansion of the scientific literature about caves in ferriferous terrains (e.g. Ruchys et al., 2015; Carreño & Kamino, 2015; Jacobi et al., 2015). In spite of the growing interest on this speleological subject, there are still few studies on the application of near-surface geophysics (e.g. Barbosa et al., 2016) although these techniques can be used to map and characterize the rock mass in which the caves are hosted without causing them any damage.

The major challenges of applying near-surface geophysical methods to iron ore caves are the great heterogeneity of the environment in which they occur, their small size and, especially in the Carajás region, the extremely humid climate. The variable response that these caves present in the sections of electrical resistivity is expected, because depending on the degree of humidity in the walls of the cavities the geophysical signature can be inverted, with the cavity presenting a conductive response instead of the high resistivity corresponding to the air (Putiška et al., 2012). The main challenges to the GPR method application using high frequencies, which allow better resolution to map the small variations of properties in subsurface, is the very small penetration of the signal, due to the presence of a humid clayey layer just below the laterite crust. For the lower GPR frequencies, which can penetrate deeper than the higher frequencies, the great complexity and heterogeneity of the subsurface is a challenge, as well as the rugged relief of the edges of the plateaus where the caves are inserted. This study aims mainly to evaluate the effectiveness of the application of the electrical resistivity and GPR (Ground Penetrating Radar) geophysical methods in the subsurface investigation and monitoring of the physical integrity of the natural cavities in iron formations. It is also intended to determine how the geophysical data obtained by these methods can contribute to the improvement of the geological and structural knowledge of the cave environment.

GEOLOGICAL CONTEXT

The Carajás Mineral Province is located in the southeastern portion of the Amazonian Craton, in the state of Pará, Brazil. It is located in the Central Amazon Province, and it is limited to the north by the Amazonas Basin and to the east by the Araguaia Belt. Tolbert et al. (1971) published the first results of the geological mapping of the region of Carajás after the discovery of the iron ore deposits in 1967, classifying the rocks in: gneisses granites and amphibolites of Precambrian age; quartzites, phyllites and schists, interleaved with the iron formation; banded iron formation (jaspilite) consisting of quartz and iron oxides with lamination of variable thicknesses; sandstones and conglomerates, and mafic rocks. These authors were also the first to describe the existence of large caves below the laterite crust, known as “canga”, in this region. DOCE GEO (1988) grouped the greenstone belts of Archean age in the Andorinhas Supergroup, older than 2.85 Ga, composed of the
late-hydrothermal stage, the fluid was relatively acidic, causing the early hydrothermal stage, the fluid had an alkaline composition, and was rich in U, LREE and Th. In this environment, the magmatic hydrothermal fluid had high salinity, and suggests that the Grão Pará Group volcanic rocks may have spilled over attenuated continental crust in a back-arc setting. Evidence of crustal contamination was observed in rocks of the Grão-Pará Group, aged 2.76 Gy (Machado et al., 1991). For many authors (e.g. Lobato et al., 2005; Rosière et al., 2006), the province presents a stratigraphic problem, as it was affected by the effects of regional folds, transcurrent faults, contact metamorphism and metasomatism around granitic intrusions, as well as hydrothermal alteration related to the various mineralization events. All these factors heterogeneously affected the volcano-sedimentary sequences, resulting in abrupt contacts between similar lithologies, but with different degrees of hydrothermal alteration, thermal metamorphism and deformation intensity. In the Serra Norte domain, the iron formations are predominantly jaspilite, with compositions varying between 17 and 43% Fe and 35-61% SiO₂, and present sedimentary and hydrothermal structures.

The bodies of iron formations are lenticular, discontinuous and include large and rich deposits of iron (65% Fe) which are closely associated with deformed iron formations, although significant amounts of iron oxides are associated with other rocks, including the mafic rocks that are intercalated with the iron formations. The deposits of Serra Norte developed in the zones of greater permeability along the Carajás fold axis (Rosière et al., 2006). Zuchetti (2007), through geochemical studies of metabasalts and detailed studies of fluid inclusions, achieved a better understanding of the hydrothermal alteration in relation to the geotectonic environment of the Grão Pará Group, and inferences about the mineralizing hydrothermal fluid and the processes associated with ore deposition. The author observed that the metabasalts have calcium-alkaline magmatic affinity, continental arc geochemical characteristics and subduction zone signature. Evidence of crustal contamination was observed and suggests that the Grão Pará Group volcanic rocks may have spilled over attenuated continental crust in a back-arc environment. The magmatic hydrothermal fluid had high salinity and alkaline composition, and was rich in U, LREE and Th. In the early hydrothermal stage, the fluid had ReO₂ in equilibrium with magnetite, suggesting relatively oxidizing conditions. In the late-hydrothermal stage, the fluid was relatively acidic, causing the alkali to leach from mafic rocks. There may also have been extraction of Fe₂O₃ from the host rock. The presence of sulfides in the hydrothermal veins shows that the fluid has evolved to relatively reducing conditions. Despite evidence of relatively fluid-reducing conditions, hematite is the dominant oxide in the late hydrothermal stage. Hematite precipitation in this case can be explained by the relatively acidic conditions of the hydrothermal fluid and/or the mixture of magmatic and meteoric fluids. Figueiredo e Silva et al. (2008) suggested that the Carajás high-grade iron-ore deposits could have been formed by hydrothermal alteration associated with fluids derived from magmatic intrusions mixed with meteoric waters, with later supergene enrichment, based upon the following observations: (i) iron orebodies vary in composition according to geometry that does not follow the weathering profiles; (ii) contacts between ores and wall rocks as well as jaspilite and mafic rocks are not always concordant; (iii) the high porosity of the soft ores may be the result of hydrothermal alteration (iv) the characteristics of the ore of different deposits vary as function of structural variations, indicating that post-sedimentary structures directly influenced the mineralogical and chemical ore composition; and (v) discordant stratigraphic contact between the jaspilitic sequence and iron mineralization.

SPELEOGENESIS

The genesis of the caves in iron ore seems to be the result of the interaction of several processes, not yet fully clarified, since there is no consensus among the researchers. Combination of chemical dissolution processes, iron reduction by bacteria, formation of lateritic crusts and differential erosion are involved, and have interacted over time giving rise to the various types of ferruginous caves. According to Piló et al. (2015), a large number of caves were recorded in the mineralized jaspilite where there are broad coverings of crusts of iron breccias, known as canga, which function as a support element for the tops of the dissected plateau, regionally represented by the Serra dos Carajás. A large number of caves were also recorded in the contact zone between the lateritic crusts and the iron formation, as well as exclusively within the crusts. Shuster et al. (2012) estimated the erosion rate in the Carajás canga plateaus at about 1 m/My, and that these surfaces were exposed to cosmic radiation for a long period (>20 My). The low erosion rate of the lateritic crusts suggests that once formed, the crusts serve as a shield for the more friable rocks below it, corroborating the geomorphological observations in the region, while Monteiro et al. (2018) calculated even lower erosion.
rates (0.08 and 0.09 m/My) in canga samples from plateaus N1 and S11D. These authors analyzed weathering profiles of Carajás, having identified goethite precipitation throughout the Cenozoic, having identified goethite precipitation throughout the Cenozoic. The paleosurface that was carved by receding cliffs and formed the present plateaus of Carajás may have been much more extensive in the geological past. Exposure ages of at least 7 My, according to the authors, suggest that plateaus were eroded very slowly during the Cenozoic. Many caves are located at the interface between the lateritic crusts and other lithologies, possibly because the hard crusts support or form the roof of the cave. According to Piló & Auler (2009), the positioning of the caves can be divided into three groups: caves positioned on the edges of lagoons on top of plateaus, caves located at the edges of drainage and headwaters and caves embedded in the sloping edges of the plateaus, including occurrences in the low, medium and high strands. According to the authors, the caves developed inside the canga crust, within the iron formation, or in the contact between them as well as in the ferricrete. The genesis of caves in iron ore seems to have begun with the same processes of silica dissolution that enriched the Carajás iron formations. The dissolution of dolomite, quartz and hematite, leads to the formation of a zone of altered iron ore of high porosity that may reach 50% of the rock volume.

According to Auler et al. (2014), the dissolution initially creates voids at great depths, hundreds of meters from the surface. Maurity & Kotschoubey (1995), in a detailed study on caves in the Serra Norte, described the genesis of the laterite caves as a three-stage process: 1) percolation of aqueous solutions along fissures and fractures, and development of a void reticulate system - Fe and Al mobilization; 2) Fe and Al oxyhydroxides filling fissures and fractures at the crust-saprolite interface by Fe and Al oxyhydroxides generating box-work structures; and 3) development of a low density zone through dissolution and lateral eluviation of the clayey matrix. The partial collapse of this zone leads to cavity formation. More specifically over the iron formations, according to the same authors, the cavernous level is due to the degradation of most of the crust's hematitic fragments, previously transformed into friable goethitic products. This low-density zone evolves, due to its resistance to the physical and chemical action of groundwater. Where the crusts remain stable, the gradual destruction of the low-density skeletal structures by water lead to the development of underground halls and galleries. In the caves, the collapse of ceiling blocks was certainly an important auxiliary phenomenon, which led to the development of cavities close to the surface and the notable increase in the height of both halls and galleries. These authors have identified three main types of lateritic coverings: iron-aluminous laterite, hematite breccia and lateritic conglomerate. The iron-aluminous laterite originates from mafic rocks, and consists of a large saprolite horizon composed mainly of kaolinite and goethite, where centimetric cavities were observed capped by a crust up to 5 m thick. The hematite breccia is limited to the zones of occurrence of the desilicified iron formations, and corresponds to thick deposits composed of densely packed hematite fragments, in a clay-ferruginous matrix composed predominantly of goethite. The matrix has also a large porosity and is locally cavernous. The lateritic conglomerate, described by the same authors, may cover both the saprolite of the metavolcanics and the desilicified iron-formations, and is characterized by accumulations of rounded pebbles of laterite and angular fragments and plaques of iron ore. The matrix has a clayey consistency and locally presents pseudo-oolitic texture. Gonçalves et al. (2016) studied lateritic profiles in the N4 mine, including the mine bench next to the cave N4E-0026, object of this study, and were able to distinguish three main horizons: laterite crust, transition horizon and saprolite:

a) **Lateritic crust**: hardened horizon consisting of detrital material of reddish-brown color, composed of sub-angular to angular clasts of centimetric to metric dimensions, cemented by aluminum and iron oxyhydroxides. Locally presents massive texture, and also porous and pisolithic portions. The ratio of clasts/cement varies greatly, as does the thickness of the horizon. The clasts are composed of ore fragments, mainly hematite with relics of banded texture and/or weathered volcanic mafic rocks, as well as fragments of laterite crust. It presents brecciated, locally conglomerate appearance.

b) **Transition horizon**: horizon of irregular thickness sometimes presenting features related to the upper lateritic crust or features related to the saprolite below, with fragile textural characteristics. Detrital material from the lateritic crust and saprolite rock fragments coexist in this horizon which often exhibits porous or cavernous textures.

c) **Saprolite**: It comprises reddish clays with whitish or yellowish spots, sometimes kaolinitic, originating from alteration of volcanic rocks or jaspilites. This horizon has irregular thickness and commonly contains internally preserved rock boulders.
This research presents the results of a combined electrical resistivity/GPR survey over a cavity located within the grounds of the N4EN iron mine, in Carajás, northern Brazil. This cave, N4E-0026, was located under the edge of one of the canga plateaus that cover the iron ore in this mine, as illustrated in Figure 1.

According to Coelho et al. (2013), the N4E-0026 cavity developed in the interface between altered jaspilite and detrital canga. The detrital canga covered the iron ore, with thicknesses that varied from a few meters up to 20 meters. This type of canga is formed by blocks of hematite cemented by hydrated iron oxides and usually has a higher Fe content of and lower alumina content than other types of canga. The detrital canga could be observed inside the cave abruptly set over the altered banded iron formation, and contributed with sediments to the cave floor (Figure 2). The iron formation presented several subvertical fractures and relief joints.

The roof of the cave accompanied the slope of the plateau, at varying depths between 8 and 12 meters. The maximum level difference within the cave was 15 meters.

A geostuctural map of the cave was elaborated by direct mapping inside the accessible parts of the cave (Figure 3).

METHODS

Electromagnetic and electrical geophysical surveys were applied on the plateau exactly in the area over the N4E-0026 cave, in four lines oriented N45°W and spaced 10 m. The line orientation was chosen so that the sections crossed the cave perpendicular to its longest dimension from the largest entrance (entrance 2). GPR (Ground Penetrating Radar) was used with frequencies of 80 MHz and 250 MHz, and Electrical Resistivity, arranged in dipole-dipole array.

The geophysical survey consisted of approximately 710 m of electrical resistivity sections, distributed along four 155 m long lines and one 90 m control line, and 680 m of GPR lines, consisting of four 85 m lines using each equipment and antennas,
Figure 2 – Cave N4E-0026 entrance 4, where several naturally fallen blocks can be observed (photo taken in Jan/2018).

Figure 3 – Geostructural map of the N4E-0026 cave with its four known entrances (Source: Vale).
as shown in Figure 4. The control line was acquired close to the nearest mine bench, which was later mapped in detail to constrain the interpretation of the geophysical data. Due to the location of the lines over the plateau edge, it was not possible to obtain continuous measurements along the GPR lines. A 3D model of the cave created using a Leica Laser Scanner model C10, provided by Vale, was transformed into a voxel of \(0.1 \times 0.1 \text{ m}\) which was sliced at the geophysical lines so that the location of the intersection of the geophysical sections with the cave could be displayed on the images. The location of the geophysical sections with respect of the 3D model of the cave are shown in Figure 5.

The electrode array used in the electrical survey was dipole-dipole, chosen after tests performed prior to the commencement of the survey, over section L1. The parameters used in the data acquisition are summarized in Table 1. The processing and inversion software used was RES2DINV from

---

**Figure 4** — Location of the geophysical sections near the N4EN mine pit (E1, E2, E3, and E4: cave entrances).

**Table 1** — Electrical resistivity equipment and acquisition parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>ABEM SAS4000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of channels for simultaneous measurements</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of electrodes</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrode Spacing</td>
<td>1.4 m (control line) / 2.8 m (other lines)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Array</td>
<td>Dipole-Dipole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levels of investigation</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum current</td>
<td>1000 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum current</td>
<td>20 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacks</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error limit</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 5 – 3D images of (A) the NAE-0026 cave; (B) electrical resistivity; (C) 250 MHz GPR; and (D) 80 MHz GPR sections, illustrating the depth penetration of each method; cave contour in blue.

Table 2 – GPR equipment and acquisition parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Pulse Ekko Pro</th>
<th>GSSI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>250 MHz</td>
<td>80 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna</td>
<td>Shielded</td>
<td>Not shielded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace interval</td>
<td>5 cm</td>
<td>10 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of samples</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time window</td>
<td>200 ns</td>
<td>500 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical sampling</td>
<td>0.4 ns</td>
<td>1 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacks</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antennas separation</td>
<td>0.4 m</td>
<td>1 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Electrical Resistivity

Three main resistivity domains were observed in the four electrical resistivity sections, as well as in the control section: LRZ (Low Resistivity Zone), with values below 761 ohm-m; IRZ (Intermediate Resistivity Zone), with values between 761 and 2,718 ohm-m and HRZ (High Resistivity Zone), corresponding to values above 2,718 ohm-m.

The control resistivity section, located over a nearby mine bench, was compared to the conventional lithogeomechanical mapping of the bench, in order to serve as guidance to the interpretation of the four other profiles over the cave. Figure 6 displays the mine bench mapping and the geophysical section highlighting how the different resistivity domains correlate to the mapped lithotypes. The lithotypes on the top surface, denominated “crusts”, correspond to the higher resistivity values (HRZ). The Lateritic Iron Formation (LIF) lithotype, although in the high resistivity range, presents more homogeneous and lower values. The Aluminium-Iron Laterite (AIL) lithotype corresponds to intermediate resistivity values (IRZ), likely due to its higher clay contents, calling attention one nucleus of low resistivity values (LRZ) observed immediately below the Aluminium-Iron Laterite Crust (AILC) probably due to the presence of water/humidity.
below the contact. Table 3 displays the correspondence between
the lithotypes mapped on the mine bench and the horizons
described by Gonçalves et al. (2016).

Table 3 – Weathering horizons and mine bench lithotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weathering horizon</th>
<th>Lithotype</th>
<th>Initials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lateritic crust</td>
<td>Detrital Lateritic Crust</td>
<td>CLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ferruginous Lateritic Crust</td>
<td>FLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aluminium-Iron Laterite Crust</td>
<td>AILC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition horizon</td>
<td>Latelic Iron Formation</td>
<td>LIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aluminium-Iron Laterite</td>
<td>AIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saprolite</td>
<td>Not present on the bench</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 7 (resistivity Line 1), it is possible to observe
three different levels easily identified by their electrical signatures.
From top to bottom, occur the crusts lithotypes, up to about five
meters thick showing domain of high resistivity values (HRZ),
all occurring in a discontinuous and irregular way. Immediately
below, the second level, is a thick layer of intermediate resistivity
(IRZ), up to 20 m thick, and corresponds to the most clayey zone
of the lateritic profile, and is shown to be discontinuous near the
cave section where high resistivity (HRZ) and low resistivity (LRZ)
anomalies can be observed.

The resistive anomalies around the cave sections can be
explained by the fact that the air is dry near entrance 2, the largest
entrance (about 12 m wide by 3 m high) and entrance 3 (11 x
3 m), or by the presence of more resistive lithotypes near these
entrances. The conductive zone (LRZ) observed between the two
entrances is interpreted as a more humid zone, where there is
percolation of rainwater, therefore requiring close monitoring.

The high resistivity zone (>12,515 ohm-meter = HRZ) in the
lower part of the section corresponds to the iron ore (saprolite),
which in the N4EN mine is composed of friable hematite, known
for its high resistivity that can be explained by its air-filled pores.

Resistivity section 2 (Figure 8) presents similar overall
characteristics as section 1, showing also the three well defined
horizons: the upper crusts, with high resistivity and small
thickness (4 to 5 m), the intermediate zone (IRZ) and the high
resistivity base (saprolite), which is believed to correspond to the
iron ore. Resistive anomalies can be observed close to the cave
entrance, though in the central portion of the section, where the
section intersects a cave hall, there are no resistive anomalies,
possibly because of the small size of the hall and also because it
lies in the deeper and more humid part of the cave.

Section 3 (Figure 9) intersects the cave well inside the
massif, crossing the largest chamber, more than 20 meters away
from the nearest entrance. In this place the cave section is about
14 meters wide, and up to 4 meters high, thinning towards the
sides. The crust cap over the cave is 5 meters thick, with resistivity values above 3,506 ohm-meter (HRZ). This part of the cave is located in a very homogeneous intermediate resistivity zone (IRZ) that accompanies the declivity of the plateau above it.

Section 4 (Figure 10) crosses the cave at more than 30 meters distance from the nearest entrance. In this section it is possible to observe a greater thickness of the canga crust, up to 8 meters, and the thinning of the intermediate resistivity zone where the cave is hosted, with higher resistivity values, still within the range of intermediate values (IRZ), between 2,107 and 2,718 ohm-meter.

Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) – 250 MHz

The GPR sections using the higher frequency antenna (250 MHz) presented high resolution through the first 6 meters from the ground surface and, as expected, presented a shallow depth of penetration, due to the higher frequency and the more conductive material (Jol, 2009). Figure 11 shows detailed images of the GPR sections above and near the cave ceilings sections. Well-defined reflectors can be seen at the top of the GPR images, below which, possibly due to the more conductive clayey material where the caves have developed, the GPR signal is attenuated. Above the cave, the intense fracturing of the rock can be observed, as well as the alternation of contrasted and attenuated reflectors, which can be interpreted as variations in the interface between the crusts and the intermediate zone, also observed in the electrical resistivity sections. The fractures mapped directly by the geologists from inside the cave have been extended in the cave sections, and it is noteworthy that some of them have direct correspondence with the verticalized features that can be observed in the GPR images.

High frequency GPR section 1 (Figure 11a) has reached the roof of the cave, but as the GPR signal was attenuated below around 6 meters deep, the cave location can’t be visualized in the geophysical image. Section 2, again, barely attained the tops of some of the cavity chambers, always in the attenuated zone. Intense fracturing can be observed. Sections 3 and 4 were run above the deeper part of the cave and didn’t reach the cave ceiling (Figures 11c and 11d), though they present the same intense fracturing close to the surface and attenuation beginning at a depth of approximately 6 m.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

As preconized by Putiška et al. (2012), the resistivity sections were inconclusive to locate the position of the cavity. It is possible that the humidity on the cave walls has created a thin layer of conductive material that masks the effect of the high resistivity of the air inside the cave. Figure 13 shows a model of the electrical response of a cavity filled with air surrounded by a thin layer of conductive material. The fractured hardened crust cap on the surface and resistive rock underneath the cave are also represented in the model. The high resistivity zones near the cave entrances observed on two of the four resistivity sections that crossed the cave can be explained by the fact that the air is dryer near the entrances, but a difference in lithology cannot be discarded.

The resistivity sections were effective to map possible geotechnical fragility zones, where there is contrast between intermediate and low resistivity, as well as changes in the orientation of the boundaries between the conductive/resistive...
Figure 7 – Resistivity section 1: the three resistivity zones can be clearly distinguished. The largest entrance of the cave was intersected by this section.

Figure 8 – Resistivity section 2: this section intersects the cave deeper inside the rock massif. Resistive anomalies can still be observed near the cave entrance.

Figure 9 – Resistivity section 3: this section intersects the cave at 20 m from the nearest entrance. The three resistivity zones can still be clearly distinguished.

Figure 10 – Resistivity section 4: this section intersects the cave deeper inside the cavity, where its diameter is only 2 m. The three resistivity zones are still discernible, though the IRZ is thinner than in the other sections.

Figure 11 – Details of the high frequency (250 MHz) GPR sections. A) GPR section 1: the cave ceiling is located in the attenuated part of the profile; B) GPR section 2: it crossed a very fractured zone, clearly seen in the fractures extrapolated from the mapping inside the cave and on the image; C) and D) GPR sections 3 and 4: where the cave wasn’t intersected by the sections, the heterogeneity and intense fracturing of the crust lithotypes is visible.

Figure 12 – Details of the low frequency (80 MHz) GPR sections. A) GPR section 1; B) GPR section 2; C) GPR section 3; and D) GPR section 4, high and low contrast regions, as well as discontinuities in the reflectors can be observed.
zones, that can be interpreted as zones of water percolation, and therefore, greater geotechnical fragility.

The higher frequency (250 MHz) GPR data were effective in mapping the fracturing or individual blocks in the duricrust cap, but were easily attenuated by the more conductive layer immediately below it, at about 6 m depth, and weren’t able to reach the cavity roofs. The lithology observed on the nearby mine bench mapping (AIL) is rich in clays, that are known to attenuate the GPR signal. It can be observed in the GPR sections that the most resistive layer observed in the surface part of electric sections and interpreted as hardened crusts lithologies has a good correspondence with the most contrasting GPR reflectors near the ground. The lower frequency (80 MHz) GPR data had a good depth of penetration and mapped well the larger fractures mapped from inside the cave, and revealed others that weren’t accessible to direct observation. On the other hand, as expected, the low frequency GPR sections presented a lower resolution and many artifacts due to the rugged terrain and reflections from the escarpments, as well as the very heterogeneous and fractured canga cap.
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