SEISMIC ATTRIBUTES ANALYSIS OF A PERMO-POOROUS SYSTEM IN NEOBARREMIAN-EOAPTIAN CARBONATES RESERVOIRS – CAMPOS BASIN (BRAZIL)
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ABSTRACT. The geological complexity of carbonate reservoirs formed by coquinas at the rift section of Campos and Santos basins has been directing the studies aiming efforts to understand this type of reservoir, even in an analogue form. The application of this analysis intends to help the understanding of the main physical properties distribution and the geometric permo-porous system associated to the potential reservoir levels located in carbonate reservoirs formed by coquinas in the Upper Barremian – Lower Aptian in Campos Basin. The method uses seismic attributes generated from 3D seismic and their correlation with the petrophysical property as porosity from well logs. Considering two pre-defined reservoir levels (CI-A and CS), the correlation between the main physical properties (well logs and petrophysical analysis) and the seismic attributes of amplitude and sweetness showed correlation coefficients ranging from $R^2 \geq 0.7$ to $R^2 \leq 0.92$. This integration of this petrophysical analysis with the seismic interpretation concludes that the porosity distribution at the reservoir level CI-A has higher influence of the tectonic structure, when compared to the reservoir level CS, with the best porosities (8% to 24%) following the main structures with NW-SE direction. The porosity values from reservoir level CS has a homogeneous distribution and reduces porosity towards South-Southeast, following the thickness decrease of these coquinas reservoir level.
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RESUMO. A complexidade geológica dos reservatórios carbonáceos formados por coquinas da seção rife das bacias de Campos e Santos tem direcionado estudos, mesmo que de uma forma análoga, para entender a distribuição de suas principais propriedades físicas e o comportamento geométrico do seu sistema permo-poroso. O método proposto contempla o uso de atributos sísmicos que são gerados a partir de um volume sísmico de amplitude e a sua correlação com a porosidade, calculada a partir dos perfis de poço, e tem como objetivo contribuir para a definição de potenciais níveis-reservatório de interesse e na definição da geometria do sistema permo-poroso associado a reservatórios carbonáceos formados por coquinas no neobarremiano-eoaptiano da Bacia de Campos. Considerando dois níveis-reservatório predefinidos (CI-A e CS), a correlação entre as principais propriedades físicas (perfil de poço e petrofísica) e os atributos sísmicos de amplitude e sussurros apresentaram coeficientes de correlação que vão de $R^2 \geq 0.7$ até $R^2 \leq 0.92$. Finalmente a análise da distribuição da porosidade para o nível-reservatório CI-A mostra uma alta influência da estrutura tectônica na distribuição dessa propriedade, se comparado com o nível CS, com as melhores porosidades (8% a 24%) seguindo as principais estruturas com direção NW-SE. Os valores de porosidade para o nível CS se distribuem de modo mais homogêneo e diminuem para sul e sudeste juntamente com a diminuição das espessuras dos níveis-reservatórios de coquinas.
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INTRODUCTION

The geological complexity of hydrocarbon fields at the rift section of Campos and Santos basins mainly in carbonate reservoirs has been attracting the attention of specialists and directed studies efforts for carbonate reservoirs formed by coquinas. The understanding of the reservoir porosity and of the geometric behavior of its permo-porous system represents a challenge in a reservoir analysis, even when analyzed as an analogue case. To better define the heterogeneity of these reservoirs, it is proposed a method of a qualitative and quantitative analysis to characterize the regional distribution of the main physical properties in carbonate reservoirs. This research is based only on geophysical methods such as seismic attributes analysis and calculation of main physical properties from well log data. The applied method uses seismic attributes computed from seismic data and its correlation with the petrophysical properties such as porosity.

The use of seismic attributes to predict lithology and petrophysical properties in hydrocarbon reservoirs is widely applied since their introduction in the early 1970s. Over the years several methodologies have been developed for their application and it comes to help the reservoir characterization practice and decision making. The attribute is derived of a basic seismic measurement that is time, amplitude, frequency and attenuation (Brown, 1996). The amplitude-derived attributes could provide reservoir information as well as frequency-derived attributes. Several authors (e.g. Chopra & Marfurt, 2007; 2008; and Rael et al., 2016) have reported on the use of seismic attribute in the characterization of hydrocarbon reservoirs. Vincentelli et al. (2014) is one of the most recent work in the area that also use the data from the Brazilian marginal basin as a case and have applied a volumetric seismic attribute of sweetness for abans carbonate reservoirs and obtained a very useful tool that helps lighted reservoir's limestone geometry with less uncertainty. This leads into some other recent work in the area, Nascimento & Vincentelli (2015) that successfully applied a methodology using seismic attributes as well and well log analysis to evaluate qualitatively and quantitatively the presence of carbonate rock reservoirs at Garoupa field. This study presents a synergetic approach integrating by crossploting the seismic attributes with the physical properties measured in the well logs. This is one of the most visually display to show a relation between these two variables. The attribute maps, as an analytical tool, may provide the spatial geometry of the reservoir properties distribution with reliable statistics and high confidence on the interpolation.

The geological settings of the area show carbonate reservoirs formed by coquinas of Upper Barremian – Lower Aptian ages, that belong to the Coqueiros Formation of the Lagoa Feia Group, which represent major discoveries of hydrocarbon-producing fields in the 70s (Baumgartem et al., 1988).

Coquinas reservoirs have been studied for more than four decades of oil exploitation, and a natural decline in the production was observed in these Aptian reservoirs at Campos Basin. However, lately, the scientific effort to understand the carbonate reservoirs distribution on coquinas reservoir has increased again, especially after the Santos Basin discoveries and its economic importance.

The goal is to define the distribution of physical properties associated to porosity in carbonate reservoirs, formed by coquinas deposits (rudstones and grainstones of bivalve molluscs) applying geophysical analysis of the carbonate sequence (rift section) in the Southwestern of Campos Basin. The application of this method intended to help geoscientists to define potential reservoir levels and the geometry of the permo-porous system at carbonate stratigraphic levels as coquinas deposits. This analysis considers the main physical properties that characterize reservoir stratigraphic levels (e.g. density and gamma ray) and its control factors (e.g. porosity and permeability) to understand the geometrical distribution of its permo-porous system, using seismic attributes maps.

GEOLOGICAL SETTINGS

Lagoa Feia Group: Focus on Shallow Portion of South-west of the Campos Basin

The sedimentary rocks of Lagoa Feia Group are distributed along the Campos Basin, showing a larger thickness inside the grabens and semi-grabens structures formed by the tectonic events of the rift phase. The stratigraphic framework is limited by four depositional sequences, first defined by Dias et al. (1988) (Fig. 1). The limits of these four depositional sequences were based on well log correlation and on seismic-stratigraphic analysis. In summary, they are: Basal Clastic, Talc-Stevensitic, Coquinas and Clastic-Evaporitic sequences.

The stratigraphic top and base, associated with each one of these depositional sequences, are interpreted as unconformities or stratigraphic marks, which represent cumulative conformities. This sequence has been described primarily from well log analysis by Baumgartem (1985), defining nine stratigraphic marks (Lagoa Feia – LF) along Lagoa Feia Group interval. The definition of those stratigraphic marks, according to Baumgartem (1985), was made through the stratigraphic analysis of the depositional cycles, based on the gamma ray and sonic logs interpretation (Fig. 1).

The Coquinas Sequence is limited at the top by evaporite deposits and comprises the carbonate sediments of Coqueiros
Figure 1 – Typical well logs for Lagoa Feia Group with the depositional sequences. All sequences are limited by stratigraphic marks or unconformity. The top of the Coquinas Sequence is marked by the regional Pre-Neo-Alagoas unconformity (LF-80). Datum: LF-85 (base of evaporite section). Recognition of the depositional sequences of Dias et al. (1988), from the identification of Baumgartner (1985) marks and reservoir levels proposed by Rosa (2016).
Formation, focus of this research. This stratigraphic sequence, based on the well logs responses, was divided into two main sequences of coquinas. The Upper Barremian – Lower Aptian Coquinas Sequence has, in the lower portion of the sequence, a pelitic stratus (Jiquiá Fm.), that is considered one of the source rocks of the Campos Basin and two carbonate units (Upper Coquina and Lower Coquina), comprising the reservoir rocks (rudstones, grainstones and bioclastic packstones, predominantly composed by bivalves).

Rosa (2016) defines the presence of at least three main reservoir levels based on stratigraphic analysis with well log in the studied area. That work establishes a reservoir level zoning for Upper Coquina (CS) and Lower Coquina (CI) as illustrated by the Figure 1 and Table 1.

A detailed analysis of the Coquina’s Sequence performed by Rosa (2016) proposes a new reservoir level zoning. Following the Baumgartem et al. (1988) the Coquinas Sequence reservoir zonation was first divided into Coquinas A, B and C. Also in the 1980s, Baumgartem et al. (1988) presented the existence of a subdivision that separated the region between the two main coquinas strata seeking a more detailed first interpretation. A report of Baumgartem et al. (1983) described in Baumgartem et al. (1988) defines six production zones (Table 1), based mainly on the stratigraphic analysis of well profiles focusing on the identification of levels of poor reservoir qualities or no-reservoir from the high potential reservoir levels (Table 1).

LOCATION AREA AND DATASET

The area is located 80 km from Rio de Janeiro coast, with 110 m water depth in the Southwest of the Campos Basin covering the Linguado and Pampo oil fields (Fig. 2a).

The research was based on a dataset that corresponds to a 3D seismic amplitude volume, with about 500 km² of area and 30 wells with gamma ray (GR), resistivity (ILD), density (RHOB), neutron (NPHI) and sonic (DT) log information. The data location are shown in Figure 2b.

METHODOLOGY

The applied methods focus on the use of seismic attributes maps as a tool to help to identify physical properties on carbonate reservoir formed by coquinas. To achieve this objective, three steps were defined to apply based on stratigraphic, petrophysical and seismic analysis. The analyses and output were combined to define best results in establishing a permo-porous reservoir system as illustrated in the workflow on Figure 3.

The stratigraphic analysis was based on well correlation of the stratigraphic marks and reservoir levels identified from petrophysical interpretation, basically from Gamma Ray, Resistivity, Density and Neutron logs. A set of lithotypes were interpreted using information from petrophysical interpretation and prior references (Muniz, 2013; Bizzoto, 2014). This analysis allowed the subdivision of the two main coquina carbonate strata where the reservoir levels of this research are located.

The information about stratigraphic analysis, such as stratigraphic marks and reservoir levels (top and base), obtained in well scale, defined each well mark that should be transferred to time domain using time-depth curves and plotted in the 3D seismic data based on time. The well data have a high vertical resolution but a limited number of wells available and the seismic data have a limited vertical resolution, due to that, an interpolation between the wells information and seismic data was obtained by seismic interpretation. This is a very common seismic analysis practice that allows obtaining a respective seismic horizon which repre-
Figure 2 – (a) Location map indicating the application area; (b) Map with the 3D seismic limits, well location and the license blocks map of production fields at the southwest portion of Campos Basin.

Figure 3 – Workflow with the methods steps applied on this research. The top row shows the main input for the analysis and the lower row shows the output of this work.

sent a time-structure map of a stratigraphic mark or reservoir level (top and base) and envelop seismic amplitude information in a structural framework.

The petrophysical analysis was based on the information derived from the well log data listed above to estimate properties as Gamma Ray Index ($I_{gr}$), Total Porosity ($\phi$) and Corrected porosity ($\phi_c$), using well logs of fourteen wells distributed in the research area. This analysis was key to estimate the porosities on each one of the reservoir levels and were used mainly to correlate with the attribute maps.

The applied methodology uses the sweetness seismic attributes. The sweetness attribute is computed using the seismic volume of amplitude and represents, mathematically, the instantaneous amplitude divided by the square root of instantaneous frequency. This attribute is used here to show an enhancement of contrast between the areas having subtly different physical properties responses and already showed good responses when applied in volumetric interpretations for carbonate reservoirs as Vincentelli et al. (2014) demonstrated in a previous publication. In this paper, the sweetness attribute is applicable to the charac-
terization of geological features that shows changes in the rock density over the surrounding rocks.

All the attribute maps were computed by measuring the time instance and over a time window of 5 ms on a surface that represents the pseudo structural map (structural map in time) of the reservoir level and then, they were correlated to the petrophysical properties using cross-plot graphs. The seismic attribute analysis allows a quantitative correlation for each defined reservoir level and determines which physical properties are represented at the amplitude data and sweetness cube. The amplitude extraction over the seismic horizons revealed features directly related to stratigraphic events. The amplitude attributes are used to interpolate/extrapolate reservoir properties from well control.

APPLICATION OF THE METHODS

Stratigraphic analysis

The focus of this paper is the two main reservoir levels defined by Rosa (2016): the reservoir level of Lower Coquina (CI) here is named as Lower Coquina A (CI-A) and the reservoir level Upper Coquina (CS) (Fig. 4).

The CI-A represents the larger and thicker reservoir level (30 to 120 m) from well log analysis. It occurs as a reservoir level near the base of the Lower Coquina stratus. The Gamma Ray log shows a pattern of inverted bell-shaped geometry that represent shallowing-upward cycles characterized by mudstones and wackestones in the base grading to packstones and grainstones in the intermediate portion and rudstones with calcarenitic matrix and pure rudstones in the top as described in petrographic work of Bizotto (2014). The other pattern observed on the CI-A is the box-shaped geometry that represents aggrading cycles associated with amalgam layers of bioclastic rudstones up to 60m thick as also confirmed by petrographic description of Bizotto (2014). The Gamma Ray patterns, in some levels of shallowing-upward cycles, could be associated with abrupt increases and decreases in energy during the deposition of these deposits (Muniz, 2013).

In the CS, the Gamma Ray log is an inverted bell-shaped pattern again, representing shallowing-upward cycles, mainly in the base and a box-shaped patterns is observed at the intermediate portion as an aggrading cycle up to 10 meters thickness. The top of this reservoir level represents a Pre-Upper-Alagoas regional unconformity in Baumgartem (1985) and also represent the top of the Coquinas Sequence.

The isopach maps on the Figures 5 and 7 was built with the interpolation of well data. Analyzing the isopach maps, the Lower Coquina stratus presents a distribution with the greatest thickness (>130 m) at the central and Northern part of the isopach map (Fig. 5a), mainly between the Linguado and Pampo field. The CI-A has its higher thicknesses associated to the Campos basement lows and the normal faults system (Fig. 6), following the trend of Lower Coquina stratus at the isopach map (Fig. 5b). The Lower Coquina stratus is thinner at the Pampo Structural High, with 15m to 25 m thick (Fig. 5b).

The reservoir level of Upper Coquina (CS) is homogeneously distributed along the well correlation section, with a small thickness to the Southeast (~10 meters less) (Fig. 6). The CS is not all deformed by normal faults of rift phase, and its distribution shows little evidence that it is conditioned by basement highs and lows. The isopach map of CS (Fig. 7) shows that the sediment decreases from North to South, and from West-Southwest...
to East-Northeast. One more observation about the thickness distribution of CS is the regional progressive decrease from West-Southwest to East-Northeast, showing a distribution and sedimentation following the regional edge of the basin, which confirms no longer significant tectono-structural interference during this process.

Petrophysical analysis

According to the Gamma Ray logs the high values measured are the responses of the occurrence of some thin beds of siliciclastics and low energy carbonate facies (wackstones and mudstones). In the Bizotto (2014) the petrographic analysis of the cores in the coquinas beds of Lagoa Feia Group confirm the presence of thin beds of low energy carbonate facies inside this reservoir levels. These thin beds act as barriers to permeability to vertical flow compartmentalizing the reservoir in sub levels and justify the estimation of Gamma Ray Index (Igr).

The Total Porosity ($\phi_t$) was estimated from RHOB log and Igr was used to compensate the $\phi_t$ and compute the Corrected Porosity ($\phi_c$). The $\phi_c$ should be the more approximate estimation, using only well log information, to the effective porosity for each reservoir level. This porosity estimation would be adopted here for considering representing the best reservoir perme-porous quality system. As observed in Table 2, for this paper the $\phi_c$ was chosen for analysis.

The Table 2 contain the information for both reservoir level analyzed in this paper that include mode values of RHOB, DT, Igr and estimated $\phi_c$ obtained for the wells in the Northwest (Linguado field) and in the Southeast (Pampo field) of the studied area.

Isoproperty maps to illustrate the Igr and $\phi_c$ distribution in CI-A and CS were created from interpolation of well data using minimum curvature (Fig. 8a). The map shows a detailed analysis of the CI-A level distribution with low Igr values in the whole middle area. The middle area at the map (Fig. 8a) also correspond the occurrence to the thickest coquina stratus as showed by the isopach map. The Igr map to the CI-A shows the small impact of the siliciclastic and low energy carbonate layers, interpreted on well profile and reported in core description data, for this reservoir level (Fig. 8a). A very analogous situation is observed at CS level (Fig. 8b) however, the Igr values are higher than for the CI-A.

The map of porosity from interpolation of well data, for the CI-A and CS are present at Figure 9. These maps show a trend that decreases porosity to South and Southeast, an inverse trend when showed at the Igr maps. The CI-A level presents values of porosity above 6% in almost the whole area, whereas for the CS level the porosities are below 5% in the central and Eastern portions.

Seismic analysis

The interpretation of Lower Coquina (top and base) was the reference to extract a representative horizon with $-10 \text{ ms}$ shift from the base to represent the CI-A level (Fig. 10).

In the case of CS level, it was calculated with $-10 \text{ ms}$ shift from the base of the Upper Coquina horizon. This procedure also allows the CS level to be represented in the seismic attributes.

The best responses obtained for the seismic attribute maps were extracted from amplitude and sweetness seismic volumes using a window of 5ms above and below each pseudostuctural horizon. The best anomaly responses were related to
Figure 7 – Isopach map of reservoir level CS.

Table 2 – Reservoir level information per well separated by level and area. Top row with the Northwest data (Linguado field) and the lower row with the Southeast data (Pampo field).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Top (meters)</th>
<th>Base (meters)</th>
<th>RHOB (g/cm³)</th>
<th>DT (μs/ft)</th>
<th>Igr (%)</th>
<th>Estimated φc(%)</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Top (meters)</th>
<th>Base (meters)</th>
<th>RHOB (g/cm³)</th>
<th>DT (μs/ft)</th>
<th>Igr (%)</th>
<th>Estimated φc(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CQ-1</td>
<td>2691.26</td>
<td>2686.12</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>CQ-1</td>
<td>2782.99</td>
<td>2827</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-10</td>
<td>2749.36</td>
<td>2778.12</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CQ-10</td>
<td>2849.67</td>
<td>2891.1</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-15</td>
<td>2678.21</td>
<td>2701.56</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>CQ-15</td>
<td>2754.73</td>
<td>2815.3</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-17</td>
<td>2782.38</td>
<td>2801.99</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CQ-17</td>
<td>2864.17</td>
<td>2911.03</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-19</td>
<td>2612.35</td>
<td>2630.92</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>CQ-19</td>
<td>2761.1</td>
<td>2792.08</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-26</td>
<td>2706.35</td>
<td>2735.47</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CQ-26</td>
<td>2861.46</td>
<td>2893.36</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-27</td>
<td>2697.26</td>
<td>2723.76</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>CQ-27</td>
<td>2780.43</td>
<td>2824.12</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-28</td>
<td>2582.61</td>
<td>2606.91</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>CQ-28</td>
<td>2699.33</td>
<td>2755.53</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-3</td>
<td>2859.88</td>
<td>2870.73</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CQ-5</td>
<td>2912.44</td>
<td>2961.76</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-4</td>
<td>2820.27</td>
<td>2839.49</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>CQ-4</td>
<td>2908.47</td>
<td>2999.68</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-5</td>
<td>2988.47</td>
<td>2911.82</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>CQ-5</td>
<td>3003.37</td>
<td>3120.74</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-6</td>
<td>2850.22</td>
<td>2858.13</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>CQ-6</td>
<td>2938.22</td>
<td>3015.02</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-13</td>
<td>2694.58</td>
<td>2718.12</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CQ-13</td>
<td>2810.62</td>
<td>2840.37</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-14</td>
<td>2802.36</td>
<td>2817.54</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CQ-14</td>
<td>2869.62</td>
<td>2886.09</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Seismic attribute analysis

Density and sonic velocity, from well data shows high correlation index with the seismic amplitude map, for both CI-A and CS levels. The seismic amplitude is correlated with reservoir properties because it has strong effect on both velocity and density changes on the surrounding rocks as shows the plots on Figure 11. The seismic attribute are measurements of geometric, kinematic, dynamic, or statistical features derived from seismic data and can be used to revealing subsurface anomalies.

The CI-A level, which is the largest and most expressive reservoir interval in the Lower Coquina stratus, the correlation coefficient between the rock property and seismic are: $R^2 \geq 0.82$ for RHOB and $R^2 \geq 0.76$ for DT, as illustrated in the graphs of Figure 11 and map of Figure 12. The higher negative instantaneous amplitude is correlate to the increase density values and decrease sonic velocity values.

The plots in the Figure 13 shows a linear correlation response of amplitude with density ($R^2 \geq 0.75$) and sonic velocity ($R^2 = 0.79$) for the CS reservoir level. In the Figure 14 the instantaneous amplitude attribute map shows a correlation between low negative amplitude values and low densities values measured for CS level.
A sweetness attribute volume was generated based on the amplitude cube and a RMS (Root Mean Square) map was selected from the time-structure horizon, which reflect the characteristics for the reservoir level CI-A. The RMS sweetness map of CI-A shows a correlation ($R^2 \geq 0.71$) between attribute and $\varphi_c$, as illustrated in the graph of Figure 15. It shows that the higher $\varphi_c$ are at Northwest and at Southeast of the area (Fig. 16).

RESULTS

Petrophysical Properties Distributions and Permo-Porous System Configuration

Reservoir Level Lower Coquina A (CI-A)

The reservoir CI-A is considered the main producer level in the Northwest portion of the study area, and it is also the most basal reservoir level in the Coquinas Sequence. Its porosity estimation values are between 3% and 17% and it was considered the correlation between the $\varphi_c$ and the seismic attributes when the correlation coefficient is above $R^2 \geq 0.7$.

The analysis of the correlation between the $\varphi_c$ and the seismic attribute maps, a correlation coefficient of $R^2=0.90$ was obtained for the Mean amplitude map (Fig. 17). A pseudo porosity map was computed with a linear regression process, using a linear function derived from the cross-plot showed at Figure 17. The Figure 18 shows the resulting pseudo porosity map over the CI-A time-structure map.

The distribution of pseudo-porosity over the reservoir level CI-A, according to the map of the Figure 18, shows values above 6% and limited by faults structures with NE-SW and NNW-SSE
trend direction. Just after the main faults, the pseudo-porosity values change abruptly and following the fault tracing. The pseudo-porosity at the Northwest portion, is quite homogenous and has a trend of high porosity values with N-S direction. In the central and Southeastern part of the area, the pseudo-porosity follows the NE-SW structural trend, with porosity values around 20%. The NE-SW trend with the highest porosity from 8% to 20% are constrained by faults, with this highest value occurring at the edges of the faults and decreasing as they are in the top of the structures. On the Structural High of Pampo the porosity values are up to 6%.

The analysis of the pseudo-porosity map for the CI-A shows a high correlation with the tectonic structure. The best porosities following the main NW-SE trend. The deposition is an important conditioner of quality of the permo-porous system quality at this reservoir level. Tectonic activities had provided sedimentary accommodation spaces and barriers that had affected the formation of high energy facies, accumulation, rework and consequently creation and preservation of the best conditions for the reservoirs. Other factors are also considered active for the quality of the permo-porous system, such as the dissolution of pores and shells by meteoric water as stated by Castro (2006). The existence of faults and fractures, enhance the percolation of fluids.
during the deposition, as a relevant diagenetic factor.

The correlation of the RMS of sweetness with \( \phi_c \) has correlation of \( R^2 = 0.91 \) over the CI-A level, as shown in Figure 15. The RMS of sweetness map (Fig. 19) shows a pattern of the permo-porous distribution, with better porosities located at the thicker areas.

According to the RMS sweetness map of Figure 19, the best \( \phi_c \) are at the center area and limited by NE-SW faults at East and Southeast. The lines at the Figure 19 illustrate the domains of the best reservoir qualities (best porosities).

**Reservoir Level Upper Coquina (CS)**

The CS reservoir level is located at the top of the Coquinas Sequence, with \( \phi_c \) from 3% to 17%. Using the same principle applied at the CI-A, a linear regression was applied, based on the linear function obtained by the correlation between amplitude maps and \( \phi_c \), which has correlation coefficient \( R^2 = 0.89 \) (Fig. 20). A pseudo-porosity map was obtained for reservoir level CS, which is illustrated in Figure 21.

The analysis of corrected porosity map over the CS level indicates a trend for the whole area with a decrease in porosity from Northwest to Southeast. The white areas, in the Southeast of the map of Figure 21, shows pseudo-porosity values below 3%. This pseudo-porosity value is way below the cut-off of 6% of porosity published at Baumgartem et al. (1988) to the Pampo field. The pseudo-porosity map (Fig. 21) shows values up to 24% with a distribution of the largest porosities (≥16%) in the Northwest, following the thicker structure at the isopach map (Fig. 7) over CS. From the map and 3D projection in Figure 21 it is possible to
observe the low influence of tectonic-structural framework in the geometric distribution of the permo-porous system. The pseudo-porosity values apparently are not very affected by the faults trend direction and decrease regionally from Northwest to Southeast. This analysis leads us to conclude that the depositional factors associated to the coquina banks, such as selection and reworking of sediments, as well as diagenetic processes, were the ones that most influenced the permo-porous distribution at this reservoir level.

CONCLUSIONS

The correlation of the petrophysical properties showed correlations ranging from $R^2 \geq 0.7$ to $R^2 \geq 0.92$ with the seismic attributes of amplitude and sweetness. The seismic attribute maps of Instantaneous amplitude, RMS amplitude and Mean amplitude for the intervals of the reservoir levels CI-A and CS, have a good correlation with the values measured in the density (RHOB) and sonic velocity (DT) logs, Porosity ($\phi_c$) and Gamma Ray Index.
Figure 18 – Pseudo-porosity map and 3D projection on the structural top of the Lower Coquina (CI) for the reservoir level CI-A.

Figure 19 – RMS Sweetness attribute map for reservoir level CI-A.
From the seismic attribute of sweetness, the RMS sweetness map has correlation with the porosity ($\phi_c$) for the reservoir level CI-A. The cross-plot graph analysis shows that there is a correlation between a rock physical property ($\phi_c$) that reflects quality permo-porous system and the main property expressed in the seismic, which is the amplitude, thus allowing a reservoir level analysis based on this property.

Finally, the analysis of the distribution of the Porosity ($\phi_c$) for the reservoir level CI-A shows a high effect of the tectonic framework in the distribution of porosity, when compared to the CS level, with the highest porosities following the main structures with NE-SW direction. The pseudo-porosity map shows the highest porosities following the NE-SW direction, which is also the main direction of thicker coquina deposits and this can be expressed with the seismic attributes analyzed here. These combinations of depositional and tectono-structural factors are important for the definition of the quality of the permo-porous at CI-A.

The pseudo-porosity map for the CS was obtained with the amplitude attribute map and shows that there was no influence of tectonic-structural on the permo-porous system of this reservoir level, as in the distribution of its thicknesses. The pseudo-porosity over CS shows a more homogeneously distribution of porosity.
and reduce its value in a South-Southeast trend following the decreases of presence of coquina deposits to Southeast.
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