ABSTRACT. The theory of thermoelasticity for saturated porous media correlates the heat equation with the poroelastic equations through the coupling between the fields of mechanics deformations and temperature. This theory is widely used in the analysis of high pressure and high temperature reservoirs and other areas of knowledge. We analyze the dispersion and attenuation of the thermoelastic waves depending on the time frequency, thermic, and petrophysical parameters of a medium. Three different poroelastic models coupled with the hyperbolic heat equation are considered: the Biot models, with and without viscous dissipation, and the Biot-JKD model, which involves the dynamic permeability of the rock.
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RESUMO. A teoria da termoelasticidade para meios porosos saturados correlaciona a equação do calor com as equações poroelásticas através do acoplamento entre os campos de deformações mecânicas e temperatura. Esta teoria é amplamente utilizada na análise de reservatórios de alta pressão e alta temperatura e em outras áreas do conhecimento. Analisamos a dispersão e atenuação das ondas termoelásticas em função da frequência temporal, parâmetros térmicos e petrofísicos de um meio. São considerados três diferentes modelos poroelásticos acoplados à equação do calor hiperbólica: os modelos Biot, com e sem dissipação viscosa, e o modelo Biot-JKD, que envolve a permeabilidade dinâmica da rocha.
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INTRODUCTION

The theory of thermoelasticity for a saturated porous medium describes the interaction between deformation and heat exchange. The mathematical equations that model this phenomenon relate the heat equation to Biot’s model, describing the coupling between the fields of mechanical deformation and temperature (Noda, 1990; Nield and Bejan, 2006).

The analysis of thermoelastic effects is relevant to study seismic attenuation (Treitel, 1959; Armstrong, 1984), geothermal fields (Carcione et al., 2018), and prospecting for hydrocarbons in general (Fu, 2012, 2017; Jacquey et al., 2015). As conventional hydrocarbon sources decline, the exploration begins to be developed in unexplored or underdeveloped areas. High-pressure and high-temperature reservoirs are increasingly becoming the focus of oil exploration in the search for additional reserves.

Biot (1956a,b) developed the fully dynamic wave propagation theory in a porous medium. He considered a matrix (skeleton or structure) saturated with fluid and predicted the existence of two compression waves ($P$) and one shear wave ($S$). The fast $P$-wave is similar to the compressional wave of the classical elasticity theory. The second compressional wave (also known as Biot’s slow wave) is diffusive at low frequencies and has a velocity of propagation lower than the first.

Biot (1962) assumed the continuous mechanical approach applied to measurable optical macroscopic quantities, ignoring the detailed geometric characteristics of the medium microscopic elements (mineral grains, pores, grain contacts). The theory is quite general since it does not assume any factor about the pores and the grain shape and geometry.

Starting with (Biot, 1956c), the theory of thermoelasticity has been well established with time. In this work, Biot explained thermoelasticity by deriving dilatation based on the thermodynamics of an irreversible process and coupling it with elastic deformation. However, the parabolic equation of heat conduction used in this study predicted the infinite velocity for propagating the thermal field. Later, Lord and Shulman (1967) introduced a generalization of thermoelasticity in which a hyperbolic equation of heat conduction with a relaxation time ensured the finite velocity for thermal signals.

The dynamic equations for the theory of thermoelasticity provided four modes of propagation, namely the fast $P$-wave (elastic wave), the diffusion/slow wave (Biot’s slow wave), the diffusion/slow $T$ wave (thermic), and the shear wave ($S$). For isothermal conditions, the compressional and transversal waves provide the theory of thermoelasticity consistent with the poroelastic waves.

For geophysical exploration, it is interesting to study, in particular, the attenuation and dispersion of waves caused by the presence of viscous fluid in the porous medium. According to Muller et al. (2010), when the wave propagates, it generates a pressure gradient in the fluid phase resulting in a relative movement of this to the solid phase. Also, internal friction is caused until the pore pressure is balanced. Due to the action of shear forces, the fluid work on the surface of the pores is transformed into heat, a process called viscous dissipation.

For Biot’s theory, the fluid flow occurs on the macroscopic scale generating a macroscopic flow, known as global flow, which describes Biot’s mechanism. As a result, the attenuation of the global flow is significant only for sonic frequencies (above $100\ kHz$).

Jonhson et al. (1987) published a general expression that described viscous dissipation and established the Biot-JKD model for high frequencies. The model is determined by the dynamic permeability $\kappa(\omega)$ and only one physical parameter is added to the classic Biot’s model. The Biot-JKD equations involve fractional derivatives defined by a convolution product in the time domain, which creates difficulties in modeling elastic wave propagation.

This work presents an analysis of the influence of the temporal frequency, relaxation time, thermal conductivity, thermal stress coefficients, porosity, and cementation index (formation factor) on the thermoelastic wave attenuation and dispersion in a thermally conductive saturated porous medium. The mathematical modeling of the problem is formed from three poroelastic models coupled to the hyperbolic heat equation, namely the non-dissipative and dissipative Biot models, and the Biot-JKD model. In this case, the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of the third order contain complex numbers (except for non-dissipative case), which may lead to incorrect values of the roots calculated by standard formulas. To guarantee the correct values, following Baydoun (2018), we used new analytical expressions to calculate the third-order polynomial roots with complex coefficients. The advantage of this approach is the calculation of the roots of the cubic polynomial as a unified formula using the standardized convention on the square and cubic roots.

BASIC EQUATIONS

Consider an isotropic porous solid thermally conductive and saturated with a fluid. The total stress tensor $\tau$ is related to the matrix stress tensor $\sigma$ and the pore pressure $p_f$ by

$$\tau = \sigma + \alpha (-p_f) \cdot I,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\alpha$ is the Biot parameter that characterizes the bulk coupling between fluid and solid phases and $I$ is the identity matrix. In an isotropic and porous solid saturated by fluid, $\sigma$ and $p_f$ under isothermal conditions are defined as:

$$\sigma = (\lambda\nabla \cdot u) I + \mu (\nabla u + \nabla u^T),$$

$$-p_f = \alpha m \nabla \cdot u + m \nabla \cdot w.$$
Here, $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are the Lamé isothermal coefficients for porous solids, $m$ is an elastic parameter of the isotropic mass coupling of fluid and solid particles (Biot model), $w$ is the vector of the average movement of the fluid in relation to the solid structure defined as $w = \phi(U - u)$, where $\phi$ is the porosity of the solid, while $u$ and $U$ are the absolute displacement vectors in solid and fluid phases, respectively; $(i)^{-1}$ means the transposition.

According to Bear et al. (1992) and Levy et al. (1995), the thermoelastic constitutive equations considering the porous fluid pressure, the porous matrix stress tensor, and the heat are written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma & = (\lambda \nabla \cdot u)I + \mu \left( \nabla u + \nabla u^T \right) - \beta \theta I, \\
- p_f & = \alpha m \nabla \cdot u + m \nabla \cdot w - \beta \theta, \\
\psi & = \kappa \nabla \theta - \Theta \theta_0 \left( \tau_0 \partial_t^2 \psi + \partial_t \right) (u + w),
\end{align*}
$$

(2)

where $\theta = \Theta - \Theta_0$, $\Theta$ is the absolute temperature of the porous medium, $\Theta_0$ is a constant reference temperature (temperature of the porous medium in the undisturbed state), $\kappa$ is the thermal conductivity and $\tau_0$ is the time for relaxation. The thermal stress coefficients for the solid ($\beta_s$) and the fluid ($\beta_f$) are related by the expression $\beta = \beta_s + \alpha \beta_f$.

Using the constitutive relations (1) and (2), the differential equations for the thermoelastic movement in terms of stresses ($\tau$), fluid pressure ($p_f$) and relative temperature ($\theta$) can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho \partial_t^2 u + \rho_f \partial_t^2 w &= \nabla \cdot \tau, \\
\rho_f \partial_t^2 u + \rho_w \partial_t^2 w + g(t) \ast \partial_t w &= -\nabla p_f, \\
\rho C_v \left( \tau_0 \partial_t^2 \psi + \partial_t \right) \theta &= \nabla \cdot \psi,
\end{align*}
$$

(3)

where $C_v$ is the specific heat related at constant tension, $\rho$ and $\rho_f$ are the densities of porous aggregate and porous fluid, respectively. The parameter $\rho_w$ is known as the effective density of the fluid that represents the inertial coupling between porous fluid and solid matrix; $\rho_w = \rho_f F$, where $F$ is the formation factor. According to Archie (1942) and Winsauer et al. (1952), the parameter $F$ is related to tortuosity $\alpha$ and cementation exponent $n$ as following

$$
F = a \phi^{-n}.
$$

(4)

The term $g \ast \partial_t w$ characterizes the viscous dissipation induced by the relative movement between the fluid and the elastic skeleton, where the symbol $\ast$ means the convolution regarding the time variable $t$. Based on the Fourier transform

$$
\check{h}(\omega) = \int_R h(t) e^{i\omega t} dt, \quad i = \sqrt{-1},
$$

function $h$ has the following representation in the time frequency domain $\omega$:

$$
\check{g}(\omega) = \frac{\eta}{k_0} \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{non-dissipative Biot model} \\
1, & \text{dissipative Biot model} \left(1 + \frac{i}{\omega \psi_0}\right)^{1/2}, & \text{Biot-JKD model}
\end{cases}
$$

(5)

with

$$
\omega_c = \frac{2\pi f_c}{P}, \quad f_c = \frac{\eta \phi}{2\pi \kappa \alpha_p \rho_f}, \quad P = \frac{4\pi \kappa_0 \phi \Lambda^2}.
$$

Here $\eta$ is the fluid dynamic viscosity, $k_0$ is the absolute permeability at zero frequency, $f_c$ is the transition frequency or critical frequency, $\alpha > 1$ is tortuosity, and $\Lambda$ is the typical viscous length. Parameter $P$ is Pride’s number (typically $P \approx 1/2$) describing the pores geometry. The limit $f_c$ between the low-frequency range and the high-frequency range is reached when the viscous stresses and inertial effects are similar. For the case of high frequencies, the function $g(t)$ introduces the fractional time derivative displaced in order $1/2$, involving a convolution product (Jonhson et al., 1987; Lorenzi and Priimenko, 2014).

THE 1D MODEL

We assume that all physical parameters are constant, i.e., they do not depend on the variables $(x, y, z)$. The displacements will be considered in only one dimension as follows:

$$
u = (u(x, t), 0, 0), \quad w = (w(x, t), 0, 0).
$$

(6)

Substituting Eq. (6) into Eqs. (2) and (3), we obtain the following 1D thermoelastic model:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho \partial_t^2 u + \rho_f \partial_t^2 w &= \partial_x \tau, \\
\rho_f \partial_t^2 u + \rho_w \partial_t^2 w + g(t) \ast \partial_t w &= -\partial_x p_f, \\
\rho C_v \left( \tau_0 \partial_t^2 \psi + \partial_t \right) \theta &= \partial_x \psi,
\end{align*}
$$

(7)

where

$$
\tau = \left( \lambda + 2\mu + \alpha^2 m \right) \partial_x u + \alpha m \partial_x w - \beta \theta, \\
- p_f = \alpha m \partial_x u + \alpha m \partial_x w - \beta \theta, \\
\psi = \kappa \partial_x \theta - \Theta \theta_0 \left( \tau_0 \partial_t^2 \psi + \partial_t \right) (u + w).
$$

(8)

Remark 1 In the case $\beta = 0$, the two mechanical waves $u$ and $w$ are decoupled from the thermal wave $\theta$.

PHASE VELOCITY AND ATTENUATION

Looking for a non-trivial harmonic solution of Eqs. (7) and (8)
\( (u, w, \theta) \sim \exp \left( i \omega \frac{x}{v} - t \right) \),

we obtain the following dispersion relation

\[ c_0 v^6 + c_1 v^4 + c_2 v^2 + c_3 = 0, \quad (9) \]

where

\[ c_0 = \rho C_v \tau_0(\omega) \left( \rho_f \rho'_f - \rho' \rho_\omega(\omega) \right), \]

\[ c_1 = \rho C_v \tau_0(\omega) \left( H \rho_\omega(\omega) - (\rho_f \alpha' + \rho'_f \alpha) m + \rho' m \right) \]

\[ - \kappa \left( \rho_f \rho'_f - \rho' \rho_\omega(\omega) \right) + \beta \beta_f \Theta_0 \tau_0(\omega) \left( \rho_f' - \rho'_f \right), \]

\[ c_2 = - \kappa \left( H \rho_\omega(\omega) - (\rho_f \alpha' + \rho'_f \alpha) m + \rho' m \right) \]

\[ - \rho C_v \tau_0(\omega) (\lambda + 2 \mu) m - \beta \beta_f \Theta_0 \tau_0(\omega) (H - \alpha' M), \]

\[ c_3 = (\lambda + 2 \mu) \kappa m \]

with

\[ H = \lambda + 2 \mu + \alpha' \alpha m, \quad \alpha' = \alpha - \frac{\beta}{\beta_f}, \]

\[ \rho' = \rho - \rho_f \frac{\beta}{\beta_f}, \quad \rho'_f = \rho_f - \rho_\omega(\omega) \frac{\beta}{\beta_f}, \]

and

\[ \rho_\omega(\omega) = \rho_\omega + \frac{i}{\omega} \hat{g}(\omega), \quad \tau_0(\omega) = \tau_0 + \frac{i}{\omega}. \quad (10) \]

Equation (9) is cubic in \( v^2 \). The roots of this equation can explain the existence of three longitudinal waves in a porous thermoelastic solid. According to Eqs. (5) and (10), the coefficients \( \rho_\omega(\omega) \) (except for non-dissipative case) and \( \tau_0(\omega) \) contain complex numbers, which may lead to incorrect values of the roots calculated by standard formulas. To guarantee the correct values, following Baydoun (2018), we used new analytical expressions to calculate the third-order polynomial roots with complex coefficients; see Appendix for details.

The formulas are based on appropriate changes to the variable involving an arbitrary parameter. The advantage of this approach is the calculation of the roots of the cubic polynomial as a unified formula using the standardized convention on the square and cubic roots. On the other hand, the reference formulas for this problem, such as Cardano and Lagrange, provide the roots of the cubic polynomial with incorrect expressions in the case of complex coefficients (Lagrange, 1770; Bourbaki, 1994).

Sharma (2008) defined phase velocity \( V \) and attenuation coefficient \( Q^{-1} \) by the following formulas

\[ V = \frac{\text{Re}^2 v + \text{Im}^2 v}{\text{Re} v}, \quad Q^{-1} = -2 \frac{\text{Im} v}{\text{Re} v}. \quad (11) \]

In Eqs. (11), \( \text{Re} v \) and \( \text{Im} v \) denote the real and imaginary parts of the complex velocity \( v \), respectively.

In descending order of phase velocity \( V \), the three waves in a thermoelastic porous medium are identified as \( P_1 \) (fast compressional wave), \( P_2 \) (slow compressional wave), and \( T \) (thermal wave).

NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Tables 1 and 2 present the elastic and thermal characteristics, respectively, of the homogeneous medium considered in the numerical simulations of this work. These parameters were combined in order to represent a thermally conductive water-saturated sandstone; therefore, they correspond to a synthetic data set.

Table 1. Poroelastic properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solid phase density</td>
<td>( \rho_s )</td>
<td>2644</td>
<td>( Kg \ m^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability</td>
<td>( \kappa_0 )</td>
<td>( 3.6 \times 10^{-13} )</td>
<td>( m^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td>( \phi )</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tortuosity</td>
<td>( a )</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear module</td>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamé coefficient</td>
<td>( \lambda )</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biot module</td>
<td>( m )</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid phase density</td>
<td>( \rho_f )</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>( Kg \ m^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid viscosity</td>
<td>( \eta )</td>
<td>( 1.0 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>( Pa \ s )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscous length</td>
<td>( \Lambda )</td>
<td>( 5.88 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( m )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cementation exponent</td>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biot-Willis parameter</td>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extracted from Blanc (2014)

Table 2. Thermal properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat coefficient</td>
<td>( C_v )</td>
<td>1586.6</td>
<td>( m^2 \ s^{-2} K^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity</td>
<td>( \kappa )</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>( W \ m^{-1} K^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial temperature</td>
<td>( \Theta_0 )</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>( K )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid phase thermal stress coeff</td>
<td>( \beta_s )</td>
<td>550560</td>
<td>( Pa \ K^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid phase thermal stress coeff</td>
<td>( \beta_f )</td>
<td>667360</td>
<td>( Pa \ K^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial relaxation time</td>
<td>( \tau_0 )</td>
<td>( 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>( s )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extracted from Haibing et al. (2014)

The complexity of this study is characterized by the large number of thermal, elastic, and petrophysical parameters that appear in the coefficients of Eq. (9). This section presents the influence of these parameters on the phase velocity and attenuation of the thermo-poroelastic waves. For this, three different cases are considered: Case I - non-dissipative Biot’s model; Case...
To analyze the relaxation time effect, the value of $\tau_0$ is set to $10^{-6}$, $10^{-5}$ and $10^{-4}$ s.

In Figures 3 and 4, we use the difference between velocities to explain the influence of the relaxation time variation on the phase velocities of $P_1$- and $P_2$-wave. We can only observe a slight difference between waves in the high-frequency domain, which is more obvious for the $P_2$-wave. The behavior presented characterizes a negligible influence of this term on the responses of $P_1$- and $P_2$-wave.

Figure 5(a) shows that in the low-frequency domain, the change in $\tau_0$ has little effect on the phase velocity of the $T$-wave, and at high frequencies, this effect is more significant. In this case, the shorter the relaxation time, the faster the $T$-wave. Furthermore, the attenuation curves are more shifted towards the high-frequency domain, see Figure 5(b).

**Effect of thermal conductivity**

Similar to the effect of relaxation time showed in the previous section, there is a negligible influence of the thermal conductivity $\kappa$ on the phase velocities of $P_1$- and $P_2$-wave. Thus, the results presented are only for $T$-wave. The relaxation time was defined as $\tau_0 = 10^{-4}$ s while the thermal conductivity assumes the values: 2.21, 4.42, and 8.84 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. Figure 6 shows that the more conductive the medium, the greater its phase velocity. On the other hand, this parameter does not interfere with the $T$-wave attenuation.

**Effect of porosity**

To analyze the effect of soil grain thermal stress coefficient $\beta_\text{s}$, we assume three distinct values: 458800, 917600, and 1376400 Pa K$^{-1}$. Figure 7 shows the phase velocities of waves $P_1$, $P_2$, and $T$ as a function of the initial temperature $\Theta_0$. The responses show that the velocities of $P_1$- and $P_2$-wave increase when $\beta_\text{s}$ increases. On the other hand, the velocity of the $T$-wave decreases with an increase of $\beta_\text{s}$, see Figure 8. Such behavior associated with the $T$-wave characterizes the greater loss of energy associated with that wave. To analyze the effect of the thermal stress coefficient of fluid $\beta_\text{f}$, consider the following values: 950600, 1125200, and 1299800 Pa K$^{-1}$. Figure 8 shows that the results are similar to $\beta_\text{s}$-case. The velocities of the $P_1$- and $P_2$-wave increase when increasing the thermal stresses $\beta_\text{f}$ and $\beta_\text{s}$ or the initial temperature $\Theta_0$. However, the velocity of the $T$-wave decreases with the increase of these thermal parameters.

**Effect of frequency**

Figures 1 and 2 represent, respectively, the phase velocity and attenuation curves vs. circular frequency ($\omega$) for the two compressional waves $P_1$ and $P_2$, and for the thermal wave $T$.

In the low-frequency range ($\omega < 3 \times 10^4$ rad/s), the curves of phase velocities show that $P_2$- and $T$-wave are more dispersive than $P_1$-wave, see Figure 1. The phase velocity of this wave is the same if we consider the cases II (Biot’s dissipative model) and III (Biot-JKD model). The $P_2$-wave has a similar behavior. In the high-frequency range, the dynamic permeability is different from the absolute permeability derived from Darcy’s law (Johnson et al., 1987). As a result, the phase velocities of $P_1$- and $P_2$-wave are subtly higher for Case II than for Case III. The phase velocity of the $T$-wave is the same for the three cases considered in this paper. This means that the the viscous dissipation induced by the relative movement between solid and fluid phases does not interfere with the $T$-wave propagation.

According to Figure 2, the maximum attenuation value of the $P_2$-wave occurs near the transition frequency, while the peak attenuation of $T$- and $P_2$-wave occurs at $\omega = 100$ rad/s. We can also observe that the attenuations of $P_1$- and $P_2$-wave are almost the same for cases II and III at low frequencies, while at high frequencies, they have a significant difference. It can also be seen that for $5 \times 10^4 \leq \omega \leq 2 \times 10^6$ rad/s, the attenuation of $P_1$-wave for Case II is more significant than for Case III. On the other hand, when $\omega > 2 \times 10^6$ rad/s, the attenuation for Case III is more significant than for Case II. The same behavior occurs for $P_2$-wave; however, in this case, the effect of dynamic permeability is not so significant. As expected, for the non-dissipative model (Case I), the velocities of $P_1$- and $P_2$-wave are constant, and these waves are not attenuated.

**Effect of thermal stress coefficients**

To analyze the effect of soil grain thermal stress coefficient $\beta_\text{s}$, we assume three distinct values: 458800, 917600, and 1376400 Pa K$^{-1}$. Figure 7 shows the phase velocities of waves $P_1$, $P_2$, and $T$ as a function of the initial temperature $\Theta_0$. The responses show that the velocities of $P_1$- and $P_2$-wave increase when $\beta_\text{s}$ increases. On the other hand, the velocity of the $T$-wave decreases with an increase of $\beta_\text{s}$, see Figure 8. Such behavior associated with the $T$-wave characterizes the greater loss of energy associated with that wave. To analyze the effect of the thermal stress coefficient of fluid $\beta_\text{f}$, consider the following values: 950600, 1125200, and 1299800 Pa K$^{-1}$. Figure 8 shows that the results are similar to $\beta_\text{s}$-case. The velocities of the $P_1$- and $P_2$-wave increase when increasing the thermal stresses $\beta_\text{f}$ and $\beta_\text{s}$ or the initial temperature $\Theta_0$. However, the velocity of the $T$-wave decreases with the increase of these thermal parameters.
Figure 1. Phase velocity of the thermoelastic waves considering the non-dissipative (Case I) and dissipative (Case II) Biot models, and the Biot-JKD model (Case III)

Figure 2. Attenuation of the thermoelastic waves considering the non-dissipative (Case I) and dissipative (Case II) Biot models, and the Biot-JKD model (Case III)

Figure 3. Difference among the phase velocities of $P_1$-wave considering different relaxation times
Figure 4. Difference among the phase velocities of $P_2$-wave considering different relaxation times.

Figure 5. Effect of relaxation time on the phase velocity and attenuation of $T$-wave.

Figure 6. Effect of thermal conductivity on the phase velocity and attenuation of $T$-wave.
Figure 7. Effect of thermal stress coefficient of solid on the phase velocity

Figure 8. Effect of thermal stress coefficient of fluid on the phase velocity

Figure 9. Effect of porosity on the phase velocity
Figure 10. Effect of porosity on the attenuation

Figure 11. Effect of cementation exponent on the phase velocity

Figure 12. Effect of cementation exponent on the attenuation
Effect of cementation exponent

The studies presented in the previous sections assumed that the cementation exponent \( n \) given in the general expression of the formation factor \( F \) is equal to 1.

The cementation exponent describes the influence of the pore network on the resistivity since the rock itself is considered non-conductive. If the pore system were to be modeled as a set of parallel capillary tubes, then a cross-section average area of the rocks would give the formation factor (4) with \( n = 1 \). However, the tortuosity of the rock increases this value, relating the cementation exponent with the permeability of the rock and showing that increasing permeability decreases the cementation exponent. The exponent \( n \) has been observed near 1.3 for unconsolidated sands and is believed to increase with cementation. Typical values for consolidated sandstones are \( 1.8 < n < 2.0 \).

In carbonate rocks, this parameter has a higher variance due to strong diagenetic affinity and complex pore structure; values from \( 1.7 \) to \( 4.1 \) have been observed, see (Archie, 1942). This section presents a comparative study of phase velocities and attenuations of thermoelastic waves at different values of \( n \), where \( n = 1.0, 1.3, 2.0 \); see Figures 11 and 12. At the same time, the other parameters remain unchanged according to Tables 1 and 2. According to Figure 11, when the parameter \( n \) increases, the phase velocities of \( P_1 \)- and \( P_2 \)-wave decrease, and they start to diverge from each other after the critical frequency. On the other hand, the cementation exponent does not affect the velocity and attenuation of the \( T \)-wave; see Figure 12.

CONCLUSION

This work analyzes how the physical parameters affect the phase velocity and attenuation of thermoelastic waves. The processes governed by the hyperbolic heat equation, and the Biot (dissipative and non-dissipative cases) and Biot-JKD equations are considered.

We observe that the frequency range directly influences the phase velocity and attenuation factor behavior, with significant changes close to the critical frequency value. It is also possible to notice that the temperature in the coupled system deformation field becomes more noticeable for the dissipative Biot and Biot-JKD models. The relaxation time significantly changes the thermal wave and meanwhile has a low influence on mechanical waves.

It is also seen that in the case of thermal stress coefficients, mechanical \( P_1 \)- and \( P_2 \)-wave and thermal \( T \)-wave may have opposite behavior, while the phase velocity of \( P_1 \)- and \( P_2 \)-wave increases, the one of \( T \)-wave decreases. Also, it is seen how the thermal parameters can significantly affect the behavior of mechanical waves, which is fundamental for understanding the physical processes occurring in a medium with such characteristics.

The thermal effect on wave propagation can vary with changes in the pore characteristics of the porous medium. \( T \)-wave has the slowest phase velocity among the three waves obtained. As the relaxation time increases, its phase velocity increases in the low-frequency domain and after the critical frequency, acquiring a stable value at higher frequencies.

It is noticed that together with the porous fluid viscosity, the thermal dissipation might also be a significant cause of elastic wave attenuation in the reservoir rocks and other porous materials.
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Appendix

Following Baydoun (2018), we represent equation (9) as follows:

\[ z^3 + bz^2 + cz + d = 0, \quad b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (12) \]

where

\[ z = v^2, \quad b = \frac{c_1}{c_0}, \quad c = \frac{c_2}{c_0}, \quad d = \frac{c_3}{c_0}. \]

Introduce the notations:

\[ \Delta_1 = 2c^3(8b^6 + 128b^3d + 36d^3 + 33b^2c^2 - 66bcd) + 12b^4c(d^2 - 7c^3) - b^2c^2d(24b^3 + 291d) + d^3(144bc - 2b^3 + 7d^2) + 18bcd - 4c^3 - 27d^2, \]

\[ \Delta_2 = 4b^4c^2 - 4b^3cd - 4b^2d^2 + 14b^2c^3 + 2b^2d^2 + 28bc^2d + c^3 - 12cd^2, \]

and

\[ \delta_1 = (d - bc)\sqrt{\Delta_1}(4b^2c^2 - 4bcd + 2c^3 + d^2) + i \frac{\Delta_1}{\sqrt{27}}, \]

\[ A_1 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(4b^3 - 2b^2d - 13be^2 + 15de)^2 + 2c\sqrt{\Delta_2}, \]

\[ A_2 = 8b^3 - 8b^2c^2 - 8b^2d^2 - 4b^4c^2 + 2b^2\Delta_2 + 116b^2c^2d^2 + 23bc^4 - 99bcd^2 + 21cd^4 + 27d^3 - i\sqrt{3\Delta_2}(8b^2c^2 - 10bcd + c^3 + 3d^2). \]

Then the roots of cubic polynomial (12) are:

\[ z_m = ma_1 \mathcal{R}_1 + ma_2 \mathcal{R}_2 - \frac{b}{3}, \]

\[ m \in \left\{ -1, \frac{1 - i\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1 + i\sqrt{3}}{2} \right\}, \quad (13) \]

where

\[ a_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp \left\{ i \left[ \arg \left( A_1 \sqrt{\delta_1} \right) - \arg \left( -d_0 \mathcal{R}_1 \right) \right] \right\}, \]

\[ a_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp \left\{ i \left[ \arg \left( A_2 \sqrt{\delta_2} \right) - \arg \left( d_0^2 \mathcal{R}_2 \right) \right] \right\} \]

with \( \mathcal{R}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{R}_2 \) defined by the following expressions:
\[ R_1 = \frac{1}{3} \sqrt[3]{i \sqrt{27\Delta_0} + 2b^3 - 9bc + 27d}, \]
\[ R_2 = \frac{1}{3} \sqrt[3]{i \sqrt{27\Delta_0} - 2b^3 + 9bc - 27d}. \]

Formula (13) is indeed the type of Lagrange, where the square and cubic roots are given by the standard convention, unlike the Cardano and Lagrange formulas that are incorrect with the standard convention. In fact, the difference from previous works is the two terms \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) absent in formulas of Cardano and Lagrange.
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